# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,291	03/24/2004	Christopher S. Margeson	06550021AA	4051
	7590 06/20/200 URTIS & CHRISTOF	7 FERSON & COOK, P.C.	EXAMINER	
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			KING, ANITA M	
	SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	10/807,291	MARGESON, CHRISTOPHER S.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anita M. King	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	arch 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 3632

This is the fourth office action for application number 10/807,291, Acceleration Clamp Assist, filed on March 24, 2004.

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-12, 14 and 15 are allowable. The restriction requirement related product invention, as set forth in the Office action mailed on December 8, 2005, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claim 13 is, directed to species no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. However, claims 16-30, directed to a related combination and subcombination and unrelated invention, respectively are withdrawn from consideration because they do not require all the limitations of an allowable claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

This application contains claims 16-30 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on January 6, 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must

Art Unit: 3632

include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 16-30 directed to an invention non-elected with traverse in the reply filed on January 6, 2006.

Applicant is given ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the date of this letter, whichever is longer, to cancel the noted claims or take other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). Failure to take action during this period will be treated as authorization to cancel the noted claims by Examiner's Amendment and pass the case to issue. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will not be permitted since this application will be passed to issue.

The prosecution of this case is closed except for consideration of the above matter.

### Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for the indication of allowable subject matter in this application are the limitations of an arrangement having a clamp structure and an actuation for applying a clamping force to an object responsive to a means for producing a signal corresponding to the acceleration of an assembly of the object and the surface, included in independent claim 1 and in combination with the other elements recited in the claim, which is not found in the prior art of record. Also note applicant's remarks on page 8-9 of the amendment filed March 28, 2007 discussing how the previously applied reference to Peleg fails to meet the above limitations.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed March 28, 2007, with respect to claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, and 14 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, and 14 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The request to rejoin claims 16-30 is denied. The claims are classified and would be examined in totally different classes that are not required to be search for the invention of claims 1-12, 14, and 15. It is noted that the reference previously applied to claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, and 14 was classified in class 73; however, this reference was obtained during a text search. In regards to claims 20-30, the positive recitation of an optical element in claim 21 sets the claims apart from claims 1-12, 14, and 15, which does not require the limitation of an optical element.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event.

Art Unit: 3632

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-6817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3632

June 10, 2007